

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,076	09/17/2003	David A. Sobel	1875.2330006	5057
26111	7590 08/27/2004	EXAMINER		
•	ESSLER, GOLDSTEI	CHOE, HENRY		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	5N, DC 20005		2817	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/664,076	SOBEL, DAVID A.	,			
		Examiner	Art Unit	K			
		Henry K Choe	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte - after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, main. a reply within the statutory minimum cleriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 September 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	•	·	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44.c = 1-	Ma)						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intervi	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/2003</u> .	2.00)	e of Informal Patent Application (PTC	-102)			
J.S. Patent and T		· —					

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,653,901. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current claim limitations are apparatus versions of limitations of the parent case recitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent numbers (4,024,462; 5,283,535; 5,493,253) are the differential amplifiers.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,076

Art Unit: 2817

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE PRIMARY EXAMINER

#899